

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,177	12/21/2001	Craig P. Hunter	42697.122US2	7824
23483 75	590 02/13/2003			
HALE AND DORR, LLP			EXAMINER	
60 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			TUNG, JOYCE	
2001011, 11111	B001011, 1111 02109			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. 10/038,177 Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary Examiner

Joyce Tuna

Art Unit

Craig et al.

		Joyce Tung	1637	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence addr	ess
Period '	for Reply			
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	<del></del>		
mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	ne considered timely. Ing date of this commi S.C. § 133).	
Status	,			
1)[X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 27, 2	2002		·
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This ac	ction is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa			e merits is
Disposi	ition of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-65</u>	is/are	e pending in the	e application.
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>1-52 and 59-65</u>	is/ar	e withdrawn f	rom consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>53-58</u>		is/are rejected	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected	to.
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-65</u>	are subject to restric	ction and/or ele	ection requirement.
Applicε	ation Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) □ accepted or b) □ object∈	ed to by the Ex	taminer.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	<del>-</del>		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved	b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.		.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (†).	
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have		<b></b> .	
	2. Conica of the partition applies of the priority documents have		•	
	3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a list	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	i this National	Stage
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e).	
a) [	$\Box$ The translation of the foreign language provision	al application has been received.	,	
15) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	0 and/or 121.	
Attachm		_		
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	· <u></u>	
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)	
3) (nf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) U Other:		

Art Unit: 1637

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group III, claims 53-58 in Paper No. 3 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-52 and 59-65 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected groups I-II and IV, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 3.

## **Specification**

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive because the old title is directed to quantitative mRNA amplification, while the claim language is directed to synthesize cDNA molecules. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,177 Page 3

Art Unit: 1637

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 53-56 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nycz et al. (Analytical Biochemistry, 1998, Vol. 259, pg. 226-234).

Nycz et al. disclose quantitative reverse transcription strand displacement amplification comprising AMV reverse transcriptase and single-strand binding protein from gene 32 of T4 bacteriophage to enhance strand displacement replication (See pg. 226, the Abstract). The amount of T4gp32 single strand binding protein is 4.8µg in a final volume of 50µl (See pg. 228 column 1, the last paragraph to column 2 first paragraph). The temperature of the reaction is  $45C^0$ -53 $C^0$  (See pg. 231, column 2, second paragraph).

Nycz et al. do not disclose the completed reverse transcription of mRNA molecules which are greater than 600 nucleotides in length, the concentration of the single- strand binding protein recited as 0.0061mM or 0.015 in claims 54 and 55, and the temperature of the reaction carried out is not more than 42C<sup>0</sup>.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,177

Page 4

Art Unit: 1637

However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention would have been motivated to combine of reverse transcriptase and single-stranded binding protein because with inclusion of the single-strand binding protein, the amplification efficiency increases (See pg. 226, column 1, the Abstract). Moreover one of ordinary skill would have also varied the reaction condition by optimizing the concentration of the single-strand binding protein and the temperature of the reaction in order to optimize the reaction condition to maximize the amount of transcription product as it was routine procedure to optimize reagent condition in assays. It would have been prima facie obvious to carry out the method as claimed.

6. Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nycz et al. (Analytical Biochemistry, 1998, Vol. 259, pg. 226-234) as applied to claims 53-56 and 58 above, and further in view of Cleuziat et al. (5,849,547).

The teachings of Nycz et al. are set forth in section 7 above.

Nycz et al. do not disclose the single strand binding protein comprising the single strand binding protein of *E.coli* as recited in claim 57.

Cleuziat et a. disclose a method of amplifying a target nucleic acid sequence (RNA and /or DNA) by transcription reaction using displacement (See column 5, lines 66-67 and column 6, lines 1-2). The reaction comprises reverse transcriptase and single-strand binding protein from *E.coli* (See column 12, lines 40-60).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the method of Nycz et al. by using the single strand binding protein from *E.coli* as taught by Cleuziat et al. because

Application/Control Number: 10/038,177 Page 5

Art Unit: 1637

the single-strand binding protein could be used to promote the strand displacement (See column 12, lines 54-57). It would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to carry out the method as claimed.

#### Summary

7. No claims are allowed.

8. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joyce Tung whose telephone number is (703) 305-7112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM-4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached at (703) 308-1119 on Monday-Friday from 10:00 AM-6:00 PM.

Any inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Chemical/Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

9. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 1637 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1 using (703) 305-3014 or 308-4242. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Joyce Tung

February 6, 2003

GARY BENZION, PH.D

TECHNOLOGY CENTED 1008